## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Nautilus Insurance Co.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civ. No. 10-1211 (RHK/SRN) **ORDER** 

A. Moore Construction and Roofing, Inc., Floricelda Lopez Madrid Rodriguez, Personal Representative of the Estate and Survivors of Eulogio Nieto Rodriguez, deceased, Creative Choice Homes, VII, Ltd., and Naimisha Construction, Inc.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court *sua sponte*.

Invoking diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), Plaintiff Nautilus

Insurance Co. ("Nautilus") commenced this action seeking a declaration that it owes no
duty to defend or indemnify any Defendant concerning claims asserted in an action styled

Rodriguez v. Creative Choice Homes VII, Ltd., currently pending in the Circuit Court for
the 11th Judicial Circuit in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The Complaint alleges that

Nautilus is "an Arizona corporation authorized to conduct business in Minnesota."

(Compl. ¶ 1.) No further allegations regarding Nautilus's citizenship are found in the
Complaint.

A corporation's citizenship is determined by its place of incorporation *and* its principal place of business. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). A corporation's principal place of business is "the place where [its] high level officers direct, control, and coordinate the

corporation's activities." Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 130 S. Ct. 1181, 1181 (2010). Here, the

Complaint fails to allege Nautilus's principal place of business. As the party invoking

the Court's jurisdiction, however, it is Nautilus's burden to plead facts establishing the

existence of diversity jurisdiction. E.g., Walker v. Norwest Corp., 108 F.3d 158, 161 (8th

Cir. 1997). Doing so requires it to plead "with specificity the citizenship of the parties,"

Barclay Square Props. v. Midwest Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n of Minneapolis, 893 F.2d 968,

969 (8th Cir. 1990), and hence the location of Nautilus's principal place of business.

Because Nautilus has failed to properly allege its own citizenship, it has not

satisfied its burden of establishing diversity jurisdiction. Based on the foregoing, IT IS

**ORDERED** that Nautilus shall redress the deficiencies set forth above on or before July

23, 2010, or the Court will dismiss this action for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

Date: July 15, 2010

s/Richard H. Kyle RICHARD H. KYLE

United States District Judge